

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/685,803	10/16/2003	Uri L. Zilberman	25771-X	5141
20529	7590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
NATH & ASSOCIATES			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
1030 15th STI 6TH FLOOR	REEI, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		3732	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\wp}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,803	ZILBERMAN, URI L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melba Bumgarner	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a searced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruit to the cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. Tom the mailing date of this communication. TOWNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	) May 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority docume  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/05.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mai 08) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,803 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on May 10, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Patent Number 6,592,373 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## **Priority**

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 3. The applicant is advised that claims 2-4, 8, and 9 contain subject matter not disclosed in the priority document; therefore, these claims are not afforded the priority date of April 17, 2001, but that of the filing date of this application.

#### Claim Objections

4. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: recitation of "said thermoplastic polymer" lacks sufficient antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Manne (4,015,332). Manne discloses a dental crown formed of a thermoplastic polymer material

comprising a tooth shaped top surface and a continuous structure of depending flexible side surfaces, a part of the structure having an inwardly directed bottom portion (column 4 line 69). Patentable weight is not given to the process and intermediate products used in the process by which the dental crown is made, because a product claim is properly met if the final product is shown regardless of the process used.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title; if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manne in view of Karmaker et al. (6,186,790). Manne discloses a dental crown that shows the limitations as described above; however, Manne shows a thermoplastic polymer material of polycarbonate and does not show the thermoplastic polymer material of a polymer as claimed. Karmaker et al. teach a dental crown formed of a variety of thermoplastic polymer material including polycarbonate, polysulfone, polyacetal, polyacrylate and polymethacrylate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a polymer of Karmaker et al. in the crown of Manne, because Karmaker et al. teach the material was known as art-recognized equivalent material at the time the invention was made in forming dental components including crowns. Furthermore, a specific polymer material is not disclosed as critical to the claimed invention. Karmaker et al. show the polymer material comprising fibers.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,803 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed May 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The prior art show the limitations of the claims. The Manne reference shows a crown comprising continuous structure of side surfaces and at least a part of the structure having an inwardly directed bottom portion as seen in figure 2. The slits in the molar tooth crown do not appear to make the crown have discontinuous structure of side surfaces. The Karmaker et al. reference is applied to show the types of thermoplastic polymer material used in forming dental crown in combination with the structure as shown in the Manne reference.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,803

Art Unit: 3732

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

While Burnguiser
Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner